

1
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7
8
9

10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11
12
13

SEER SYSTEMS INC., a California
corporation,

No. C 06-07736 WHA

14 Plaintiff,

**ORDER APPROVING STIPULATED
PROTECTIVE ORDER SUBJECT
TO STATED CONDITIONS**

15
16

v.
17 YAMAHA CORPORATION, a Japanese
corporation,

18 Defendant.

19
20
21
22
23
24

The stipulated protective order submitted by the parties is hereby **APPROVED**, subject to
the following conditions:

25
26
27
28

1. The parties must make a good-faith determination that any
information designated “confidential” warrants protection under Rule 26(c) of the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Designations of material as “confidential” must
be narrowly tailored to include only material for which there is good cause. A
pattern of over-designation may lead to an order de-designating all or most
materials on a wholesale basis.

25
26
27
28

2. In order to be treated as confidential, any materials filed with the
Court must be lodged with a request for filing under seal in compliance with Civil
Local Rule 79-5. Please limit your requests for sealing to only those
narrowly-tailored portions of materials for which good cause to seal exists.
Please include all other portions of your materials in the public file and

1 clearly indicate therein where material has been redacted and sealed. Each filing
2 requires an individualized sealing order; blanket prospective authorizations are no
3 longer allowed by Civil Local Rule 79-5.

4 3. Chambers copies should include all material — both redacted and
5 unredacted — so that the chambers staff does not have to re-assemble the whole
6 brief or declaration. Although chambers copies should clearly designate which
7 portions are confidential, chambers copies with confidential materials will be
8 handled like all other chambers copies of materials without special restriction, and
9 will typically be recycled, not shredded.

10 4. Any confidential materials used openly in court hearings or trial
11 will not be treated in any special manner absent a further order.

12 5. This order does not preclude any party from moving to
13 de-designate information or documents that have been designated as confidential.
14 The party seeking to designate material as confidential has the burden of
15 establishing that the material is entitled to protection.

16 6. The Court will retain jurisdiction over disputes arising from the
17 proposed and stipulated protective order for only 90 days after final termination
18 of the action.

19
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
22 Dated: August 30, 2007.



23

24 WILLIAM ALSUP
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26
27
28